Philosophy of Logic On frameworks for doing logic

João Marcos

UFSC

2024.1

Algebraic structures, ordered structures, topological structures, and... ... logical structures!

Algebraic structures, ordered structures, topological structures, and... ... logical structures!

Consider $\langle S, \rhd \rangle$, where:

• S is a collection of sentences

What are sentences?

- anything we want?
- same as formulas?
- same as propositions?
- should we impose some relevant structure?

Algebraic structures, ordered structures, topological structures, and... ... logical structures!

Consider $\langle S, \rhd \rangle$, where:

- S is a collection of sentences
- \triangleright is a collection of consecutions

What type do the consecutions have?

- do they represent an operator, or a relation?
- a unary relation? a binary one? something else?
- a single premiss? many premisses?
- a single conclusion? multiple alternatives?
- are the sentences organized into: sets? bags? sequences?

Consider $\langle S, \rhd \rangle$, where:

- S is a collection of sentences
- \triangleright is a collection of consecutions

Some popular frameworks for doing logic:

Consider $\langle S, \rhd \rangle$, where:

- S is a collection of sentences
- \triangleright is a collection of consecutions

Some popular frameworks for doing logic:

• Set \longrightarrow Set(Set): closure operators

Opportunities:

— a built-in notion of logical theory

Challenges:

displaying the non-determinism of inference
axiomatizing the 'right' notion of consequence

• • •

Consider $\langle S, \rhd \rangle$, where:

- S is a collection of sentences
- \triangleright is a collection of consecutions

Some popular frameworks for doing logic:

- Set \longrightarrow Set(Set): closure operators
- Fmla: collections of theses

Opportunities:

— implements a notion of assertion

Challenges:

- there are 'conclusions', but no premisses!
- no built-in notion of following-from
- why not look at antitheses, as well?
- no intuitive logical interpretation
- hard to distinguish one logic from another

• • •

Consider $\langle S, \rhd \rangle$, where:

- S is a collection of sentences
- \triangleright is a collection of consecutions

Some popular frameworks for doing logic:

- Set \longrightarrow Set(Set): closure operators
- Fmla: collections of theses
- Set-Fmla: single-conclusion consequence relations (cr)

Opportunities:

- captures the givens and the goal
- connection to proof-from-premisses
- connection to truth-preservation

Challenges:

- what about Fmla-Set?
- why privilege truth over falsity?
- hard to capture the meaning of certain $\frac{1}{1}$
- still hard to distinguish one logic from another

Consider $\langle S, \rhd \rangle$, where:

- S is a collection of sentences
- \triangleright is a collection of consecutions

Some popular frameworks for doing logic:

- Set \longrightarrow Set(Set): closure operators
- Fmla: collections of theses
- Set-Fmla: single-conclusion consequence relations (cr)
- Set-Set: multiple-conclusion / generalized consequence relations (gcr)

. . .

Opportunities:

. . .

- implements also a notion of denial
- demands less from the object language
- neat characterization of constants
- geometrical view on proofs
- logics are reconciled with their models
- abstractly capturing logical principles
- restores perfect symmetry
- generalizes all the previous cases

Challenges:

- interpreting what's going on?

• compatibility, S-consequence, T-consequence, T-closure, S-closure

(see slide 1 of "RecaptureA" and slides 5-7 of "MCL-Tut-1")

• compatibility, S-consequence, T-consequence, T-closure, S-closure

(see slide 1 of "RecaptureA" and slides 5–7 of "MCL-Tut-1")

• gcrs and logical principles

(see slide 1 of "RecaptureA")

• compatibility, S-consequence, T-consequence, T-closure, S-closure

(see slide 1 of "RecaptureA" and slides 5–7 of "MCL-Tut-1")

• gcrs and logical principles

(see slide 1 of "RecaptureA")

• gcrs and logical constants as punctuation marks

(see slide 2 of "RecaptureA")

• compatibility, S-consequence, T-consequence, T-closure, S-closure

(see slide 1 of "RecaptureA" and slides 5-7 of "MCL-Tut-1")

• gcrs and logical principles

(see slide 1 of "RecaptureA")

• gcrs and logical constants as punctuation marks

(see slide 2 of "RecaptureA")

gcrs and a theory of opposition

(see slide 2 of "RecaptureA")