

Philosophy of Logic

Some fundamental logical properties

João Marcos

UFSC

2024.1

What makes for a **good** logic?

What makes for a good logic?

Some desirable properties

- substitution-invariance
- finitariness
- congruentiality
- extensionality
- truth-functionality
- soundness & completeness

What makes for a **good** logic?

Some desirable properties

- substitution-invariance
- finitariness
- congruentiality
- extensionality
- truth-functionality
- soundness & completeness

Combining logics

- how can two logics be **merged** into one?
- what happens from a **deductive** / **semantical** perspective?

Substitution-invariance

a.k.a. 'structurality'

Substitution-invariance

a.k.a. 'structurality'

"Logics are formal"

The birth of 'abstract logics':

- on the algebraic **structure** of sentences
- what is a uniform substitution?
- on consequence-preserving substitutions:

$$\Pi \triangleright \Sigma \implies \Pi^\varepsilon \triangleright \Sigma^\varepsilon$$

for every endomorphism ε on the algebra of sentences

Substitution-invariance

a.k.a. 'structurality'

"Logics are formal"

The birth of 'abstract logics':

- on the algebraic **structure** of sentences
- what is a uniform substitution?
- on consequence-preserving substitutions:

$$\Pi \triangleright \Sigma \implies \Pi^\varepsilon \triangleright \Sigma^\varepsilon$$

for every endomorphism ε on the algebra of sentences

How formal can a nonmonotonic logic be?

- the bridges that fall...
- relettering allowed!

Finitariness

Finitariness

“No role for the infinite in reasoning”

- compactness and choice
- the deductive viewpoint: on the role of ω -rules
- the semantical viewpoint: on the finitary character of inconsistency
- the abstract viewpoint: compatibility, consequence, and closure

Congruentiality

a.k.a. 'self-extensionality'

Congruentiality

a.k.a. 'self-extensionality'

"Equivalent sentences are indistinguishable"

- on the concept of **logical equivalence**, \Leftrightarrow , and on demanding the logical constants to be **compatible** with the latter notion
- an abstract definition (1-ary case):

$$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi \implies \textcircled{C}\varphi \Leftrightarrow \textcircled{C}\psi$$

for every connective \textcircled{C}

- the algebraic outlook: taking the quotient of a structure
- on the connection to modalities

Extensionality

Extensionality

“Judgments are all that matters”

- not distinguishing between two **asserted** sentences
- not distinguishing between two **denied** sentences
- on the connections to positive / negative modalities
- abstract definitions (1-ary case):

$$\varphi, \psi, \textcircled{C}\varphi \triangleright \textcircled{C}\psi$$

$$\textcircled{C}\varphi \triangleright \textcircled{C}\psi, \varphi, \psi$$

Truth-functionality

Truth-functionality

“All that matters is the value one gives to things”

- **compositionality** in its purest form
- from a deductive viewpoint: a form of analyticity
- on the abstract counterpart:
capturing characterizability by a single logical matrix
- important to emphasize: a property of logic, not just of its semantics!

Soundness & Completeness

Soundness & Completeness

Comparing two logics to one another

Given logics $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_2 \rangle$, we say that:

- $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_1 \rangle$ is *sound* with respect to $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_2 \rangle$ if $\triangleright_1 \subseteq \triangleright_2$
- $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_1 \rangle$ is *complete* with respect to $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_2 \rangle$ if $\triangleright_1 \supseteq \triangleright_2$

Soundness & Completeness

Comparing two logics to one another

Given logics $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_2 \rangle$, we say that:

- $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_1 \rangle$ is *sound* with respect to $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_2 \rangle$ if $\triangleright_1 \subseteq \triangleright_2$
- $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_1 \rangle$ is *complete* with respect to $\langle \mathbf{Fm}, \triangleright_2 \rangle$ if $\triangleright_1 \supseteq \triangleright_2$

Translating logics into one another

Given logics $\mathcal{L}_1 := \langle \mathbf{Fm}_1, \triangleright_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 := \langle \mathbf{Fm}_2, \triangleright_2 \rangle$, we say that:

- a *translation* of \mathcal{L}_1 into \mathcal{L}_2 is a mapping $\star : \mathbf{Fm}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_2$ such that

$$\Pi \triangleright_1 \Sigma \implies \Pi^\star \triangleright_2 \Sigma^\star \quad (\text{preservation})$$

- a translation of \mathcal{L}_1 into \mathcal{L}_2 is said to be *conservative* if

$$\Pi \triangleright_1 \Sigma \iff \Pi^\star \triangleright_2 \Sigma^\star \quad (\text{reflection})$$

Combining logics

Combining logics

Let's reason together!

- the different mechanisms out there: fusion, product, fibring, etc
- the abstract problem of **fibring** logics:
producing the least common conservative extension of two given logics
- the problem of shared vocabulary
- the easy problem of finding a deductive counterpart to fibring
- the tough problem of finding a semantical counterpart to fibring