

Philosophy of Logic

Deduction-based approaches

João Marcos

UFSC

2024.1

Through the combinatorial grammar of deduction

Through the combinatorial grammar of deduction

On the game of giving and asking for reasons

- from consecutions to sequents
- from the abstract (Tarski) to Hilbert-Frege systems
- from Hilbert-Frege systems to Gentzen systems
 - ▶ from axiom-style to sequent-style, and back
 - ▶ from sequent calculus to natural deduction
- other deduction-based approaches

Through the combinatorial grammar of deduction

On the game of giving and asking for reasons

- from consecutions to sequents
- from the abstract (Tarski) to Hilbert-Frege systems
- from Hilbert-Frege systems to Gentzen systems
 - ▶ from axiom-style to sequent-style, and back
 - ▶ from sequent calculus to natural deduction
- other deduction-based approaches

Proof Theory (not 'Syntax'!)

- on the inductively defined set of derivations
- derivations induce consequence relations

Theorem. All logics are **presentable** as Hilbert-Frege systems.

Some desirable properties

Some desirable properties

What and what for?

- purity, simplicity, and whatnot ('harmony'?)
- strategical reasoning, termination
- recursive presentations
- analyticity
- consistency

Some characteristic CPL-derivable sequents

$$\frac{}{\varphi \wedge \psi \triangleright \varphi} \text{ (AND1)} \quad \frac{}{\varphi \wedge \psi \triangleright \psi} \text{ (AND2)} \quad \frac{}{\varphi, \psi \triangleright \varphi \wedge \psi} \text{ (AND3)}$$

$$\frac{}{\varphi \triangleright \varphi \vee \psi} \text{ (OR1)} \quad \frac{}{\psi \triangleright \varphi \vee \psi} \text{ (OR2)} \quad \frac{}{\varphi \vee \psi \triangleright \varphi, \psi} \text{ (OR3)}$$

$$\frac{}{\varphi \rightarrow \psi, \varphi \triangleright \psi} \text{ (IMP1)} \quad \frac{}{\psi \triangleright \varphi \rightarrow \psi} \text{ (IMP2)} \quad \frac{}{\triangleright \varphi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi} \text{ (IMP3)}$$

$$\frac{}{\triangleright \varphi, \neg \varphi} \text{ (NEG1)} \quad \frac{}{\varphi, \neg \varphi \triangleright} \text{ (NEG2)}$$

$$\frac{}{\triangleright \top} \text{ (TOP0)} \quad \frac{}{\perp \triangleright} \text{ (BOT0)}$$

Some characteristic CPL-derivable sequents

$$\frac{}{\varphi \wedge \psi \triangleright \varphi} \text{ (AND1)} \quad \frac{}{\varphi \wedge \psi \triangleright \psi} \text{ (AND2)} \quad \frac{}{\varphi, \psi \triangleright \varphi \wedge \psi} \text{ (AND3)}$$

$$\frac{}{\varphi \triangleright \varphi \vee \psi} \text{ (OR1)} \quad \frac{}{\psi \triangleright \varphi \vee \psi} \text{ (OR2)} \quad \frac{}{\varphi \vee \psi \triangleright \varphi, \psi} \text{ (OR3)}$$

$$\frac{}{\varphi \rightarrow \psi, \varphi \triangleright \psi} \text{ (IMP1)} \quad \frac{}{\psi \triangleright \varphi \rightarrow \psi} \text{ (IMP2)} \quad \frac{}{\triangleright \varphi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi} \text{ (IMP3)}$$

$$\frac{}{\triangleright \varphi, \neg \varphi} \text{ (NEG1)} \quad \frac{}{\varphi, \neg \varphi \triangleright} \text{ (NEG2)}$$

$$\frac{}{\triangleright \top} \text{ (TOP0)} \quad \frac{}{\perp \triangleright} \text{ (BOT0)}$$

Exercise: Prove the above using the abstract characterizations of the respective connectives.

An H-system for CPL

$$\frac{p_1 \wedge p_2}{p_1} (\wedge_1)$$

$$\frac{p_1 \wedge p_2}{p_2} (\wedge_2)$$

$$\frac{p_1, p_2}{p_1 \wedge p_2} (\wedge_3)$$

$$\frac{p_1}{p_1 \vee p_2} (\vee_1)$$

$$\frac{p_2}{p_1 \vee p_2} (\vee_2)$$

$$\frac{p_1 \vee p_2}{p_1, p_2} (\vee_3)$$

$$\frac{p_1 \rightarrow p_2, p_1}{p_2} (\rightarrow_1)$$

$$\frac{p_2}{p_1 \rightarrow p_2} (\rightarrow_2)$$

$$\frac{}{p_1, p_1 \rightarrow p_2} (\rightarrow_3)$$

$$\frac{}{p_1, \neg p_1} (\neg_1)$$

$$\frac{p_1, \neg p_1}{} (\neg_2)$$

$$\overline{\top} (\top_0)$$

$$\perp (\perp_0)$$

A (simplified) G-system for CPL

Structural rules

$$\frac{}{\varphi \vdash \varphi} \text{ (init)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \Delta} \text{ WL}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \varphi \vdash \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi, \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \text{ (cut)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi, \Delta} \text{ WR}$$

Logical rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \Delta} (\wedge L_1)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \psi \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \Delta} (\wedge L_2)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \vee \psi, \Delta} (\vee R_1)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \vee \psi, \Delta} (\vee R_2)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \varphi \vdash \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2, \psi \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \varphi \vee \psi \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} (\vee L)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \varphi, \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \psi, \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi, \Delta_1, \Delta_2} (\wedge R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \varphi, \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2, \psi \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} (\rightarrow L)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi, \Delta} (\rightarrow R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg \varphi \vdash \Delta} (\neg L)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \neg \varphi, \Delta} (\neg R)$$

$$\frac{}{\perp \vdash} (\perp L)$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \top} (\top R)$$

A (simplified) G-system for CPL

Structural rules

$$\frac{}{\varphi \vdash \varphi} \text{ (init)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \Delta} \text{ WL}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \varphi \vdash \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi, \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \text{ (cut)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi, \Delta} \text{ WR}$$

Logical rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \Delta} (\wedge L_1)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \psi \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \Delta} (\wedge L_2)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \varphi \vdash \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2, \psi \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \varphi \vee \psi \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} (\vee L)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \varphi, \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2, \psi \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} (\rightarrow L)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg \varphi \vdash \Delta} (\neg L)$$

$$\frac{}{\perp \vdash} (\perp L)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \vee \psi, \Delta} (\vee R_1)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \vee \psi, \Delta} (\vee R_2)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \varphi, \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \psi, \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi, \Delta_1, \Delta_2} (\wedge R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi, \Delta} (\rightarrow R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \neg \varphi, \Delta} (\neg R)$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \top} (\top R)$$

Exercise: Show that the H-system and the logical rules of the G-system are interderivable.

ND for CPL

Intro & Elim rules

(framework Set-Fmla)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi} \wedge E_1 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \psi} \wedge E_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \varphi \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \psi}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi} \wedge I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \vee \psi} \vee I_1 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \vee \psi} \vee I_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_0 \vdash \varphi \vee \psi \quad \Gamma_1, \varphi \vdash \delta \quad \Gamma_2, \psi \vdash \delta}{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \delta} \vee E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \psi} \rightarrow E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi} \rightarrow I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \neg \varphi \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \perp} \neg E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \neg \varphi} \neg I$$

$$\overline{\vdash \top} \top I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \delta} \perp int$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \neg \delta \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \delta} \perp E$$

ND for CPL

Intro & Elim rules

(framework Set-Fmla)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi} \wedge E_1 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \psi} \wedge E_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \varphi \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \psi}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi} \wedge I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \vee \psi} \vee I_1 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \vee \psi} \vee I_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_0 \vdash \varphi \vee \psi \quad \Gamma_1, \varphi \vdash \delta \quad \Gamma_2, \psi \vdash \delta}{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \delta} \vee E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \psi} \rightarrow E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi} \rightarrow I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \neg \varphi \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \perp} \neg E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \neg \varphi} \rightarrow I$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \top} \top I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \delta} \perp int$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \neg \delta \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \delta} \perp E$$

Exercise: Derive the rules of ND from the rules of the G-system.

Beyond the classical case

Beyond the classical case

Capturing other classes of connectives

- positive modal connectives
- negative modal connectives
- restoration connectives
- ... and much more!